Interview Summary	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s) RILEY ET AL.	
	10/628,681			
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Karie O'Neill		1795	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Karie O'Neill</u> .	(3) Emily Whelan (attorney).			
(2) Mark Ruthkosky (primary examiner).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 22 January 2008.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Chiang et al.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the differences between the prior art and the instant application. Disussed the term "arbitrarty form factor" and the definition of it in the specification. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ature, if required	